Interview Summary

 Application No.
 Applicant(s)

 10/583,613
 SCHMIDT ET AL.

 Examiner
 Art Unit

 ALEX W. MOK
 2834

interview Summary			
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	ALEX W. MOK	2834	
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):			
(1) <u>ALEX W. MOK</u> .	(3)Karl Tamai.		
(2) <u>Karl Milde</u> .	(4)		
Date of Interview: 09 September 2008.			
Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2	2)⊠ applicant's representative	e]	
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description:	e)⊠ No.		
Claim(s) discussed: 1.			
Identification of prior art discussed: <u>Taiani, Fehr et al</u> .			
Agreement with respect to the claims f)☐ was reached. g) was not reached. h) № N	I/A.	
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Discussed with Mr. Milde the prior art references in regards to the claimed invention, and also discussed possible claim amendments to further define the applicant's invention. A formal response will be filed, and the Office will take it into consideration.			
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amend allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no callowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached	opy of the amendments that w		
THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE. OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.			
/Karl I.E. Tamai/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2834			